Ultrasensitive Detection and Quantification of Acidic Disaccharides Using Capillary Electrophoresis and Quantum Dot-Based Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer
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ABSTRACT: Rapid and highly sensitive detection of the carbohydrate components of glycoconjugates is critical for advancing glycobiology. Fluorescence (or Förster) resonance energy transfer (FRET) is commonly used in detection of DNA, in protein structural biology, and in protease assays but is less frequently applied to glycan analysis due to difficulties in inserting two fluorescent tags into small glycan structures. We report an ultrasensitive method for the detection and quantification of a chondroitin sulfate disaccharide based on FRET, involving a CdSe–ZnS core–shell nanocrystal quantum dot (QD) streptavidin conjugate donor and a Cy5 acceptor. The disaccharide was doubly labeled with biotin and Cy5. QDs then served to concentrate the target disaccharide, enhancing the overall energy transfer efficiency, with unlinked QDs and Cy5 hydrazide producing nearly zero background signal in capillary electrophoresis using laser-induced fluorescence detection with two different band-pass filters. This method is generally applicable to the ultrasensitive analysis of acidic glycans and offers promise for the high-throughput disaccharide analysis of glycosaminoglycans.

Fluorescence (or Förster) resonance energy transfer (FRET) is a process involving the transfer of energy from donor fluorophore to acceptor fluorophore when the distance between the donor and the acceptor is smaller than a critical radius, known as the Förster radius ($R_0$). This leads to a reduction in the donor’s emission and excited state lifetime and an increase in the acceptor’s emission intensity. FRET is widely applied in measuring protein conformational changes and in enzyme activity assays. But FRET has infrequently been applied to carbohydrate analysis due to the general lack of appropriately spaced, reactive sites on glycans for the introduction of two fluorescent tags. Acidic glycans, such as glycosaminoglycan-derived disaccharides, offer an attractive FRET target as they have both a single reactive hemiacetal reducing end and a single nonreducing end carboxyl group. FRET requires fluorescent molecules in close proximity in the range of 0–2 $R_0$. Even at very high concentrations noninteracting donors and acceptors do not undergo FRET. This is considered an advantage of FRET, as excess donor and or acceptor fluorophores are often used to promote nonbonded interactions of donor and acceptor. Since this large excess of unbound fluorophore should not add to FRET, a purification step, typically required in most fluorescence experiments, is unnecessary. While FRET is primarily detected using separation-free spectroscopic and microscopic imaging techniques, in practice, these detection methods can lead to misleading or even meaningless results. The major factor causing inaccuracy in calculating FRET efficiency is crosstalk between the two fluorophores. Not only can the acceptor be excited with the light selected to excite the donor but some of...
the detected fluorescence can also come from the excited donor. Quantum dots (QDs) have emerged as particularly effective FRET donors due to their narrow emission spectra. While QDs can decrease excitation crosstalk, they cannot eliminate spectral crosstalk in the detected signal. One approach for completely eliminating crosstalk is to combine a separation method with FRET analysis.

Capillary electrophoresis (CE) is a powerful high-resolution method capable of separating QDs and their conjugates. In the commonly used capillary zone electrophoresis (CZE), a bare fused-silica capillary can separate QDs with different charge-to-size ratios under optimized conditions. While capillary gel electrophoresis has also been applied to improve resolution of QDs and QD–bioconjugate mixtures, a decrease in detection sensitivity often results. Several groups have reported the CE-based separation of QDs and their bioconjugates. FRET has been detected between water-soluble CdTe QD donor and 632 nm emitting CdSe/ZnS QD acceptor covalently conjugated with antibodies using Capillary electrophoresis laser-induced fluorescence (CE-LIF). FRET has also been applied between QDs donor and Cy5 acceptor bound to a polypeptide for measuring protease activity using CE as separation tool for bound and unbound QDs. However, the separation of QDs and QD–disaccharide conjugate is particularly challenging because the relatively small size of a disaccharide and the challenges associated with the introduction of donor and acceptor fluorophores. In the current study we have prepared a disaccharide FRET complex and optimized its separation by CE and its detection by LIF.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials.** All the chemicals and materials used in the experiment were of analytical grade unless otherwise indicated.
and those containing the product as determined by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) (n-BuOH/AcOH/H2O = 2/2/1) were combined and freeze-dried to afford the biotinylated disaccharide 3 as a white powder.

**Coupling of Cy5 to Biotinylated Disaccharide.** 4-(4,6-Dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride (DMTMM) was prepared by adding NMM (2.02 g, 20 mmol) to a solution of CDMT (3.86 g, 22 mmol) in THF (60 mL) at room temperature. A white solid appeared within several minutes. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, the solid was collected by suction and washed with THF and dried to give DMTMM (100%). Although the DMTMM was of high purity, it was recrystallized from methanol and diethyl ether before using. Biotinylated disaccharide 3 (1 mg) was dissolved in methanol−water (9:1, 5 mL) together with the Cy5 hydrazide (1.2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Recrystallized DMTMM (1.5 equiv) was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until the reaction was complete (5−14 h). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue coevaporated with absolute ethanol affording the biotinylated, Cy5-labeled disaccharide 4.

**Complexation of QD-605 Streptavidin QD-SA with Biotinylated Cy5-Labeled Disaccharide 4.** Complexation of QD-605 streptavidin (QDSA) with biotinylated Cy5-labeled disaccharide 4 was carried out in QD incubation buffer provided by Invitrogen Inc. Before conjugation, QD-SA was centrifuged at 5000g for 3 min; any precipitate was discarded before reaction. Amounts of 32, 20, 16, 12, 8, 4, 2, and 1 pmol of 4 and 1 μL of QD-SA (1 μM) were added to incubation buffer, respectively. The final concentration of QD-SA is 10 nM. The mixtures were left in dark for 5 h to complete the conjugation. Samples were diluted with incubation buffer to desired concentration for CE-LIF analyses of 4−QD-SA complex.

**Instrumentation.** CE analyses were carried out on an Agilent G1600 high-performance CE system coupled with a ZETALIF (Picometrics, France) detector (λex = 488 nm). Resolution and analysis were performed on an uncoated fused-silica capillary column (25, 50, or 75 μm i.d., indicated in each experiment) at 25 °C, using 50 mM carbonate buffer, pH 9.0 (unless otherwise indicated), at different voltages, as shown in figures, normal polarity. New capillary was treated with MeOH, 1 M HCl, 1 M NaOH, 0.1 M NaOH, water, and operating buffer, until the baseline got constant. Between each run, the capillary was flushed through a 0.2 μm membrane filter. All solutions were degassed. Samples were introduced using the pressure mode (50 mbar × 5 s) at the anode. The emission filters of 488 and 650 nm band-pass were also purchased from ZETALIF (Picometrics, France). Each time after switching the

Figure 2. Scheme of the FRET system construction in this study. (A) Conjugation scheme of the FRET system. Disaccharide (degree of polymerization (dp) 2, green) is biotinylated (B, yellow), then coupled to the FRET acceptor, Cy5 hydrazide (blue star). Incubation at room temperature and in the dark of Cy5−dp2−biotin complex 4 to QD streptavidin conjugate (QD-SA, red and purple) forms the FRET complex 4−QD-SA. (B) The FRET donor, QD, is excited with a laser at 488 nm; because Cy5 dye, located on the same disaccharide, is close, FRET occurs and Cy5 is excited by emission from the QD, and the emission of Cy5 is then detected. (C) CE-LIF instrumental setup for FRET detection. A 488 nm (filter I, donor−acceptor channel) and 650 nm (filter II, acceptor channel) band-pass filter was used for FRET detection.
filter, an optical performance optimization was performed with flushing the capillary with $10^{-6}$ M FITC.

Transmission electron microscopy was performed with a Philips CM12 (Eindhoven, Amsterdam, Netherlands) at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV in bright-field mode. Dispersed quantum dots on 400 mesh TEM grids were obtained by adding one drop of diluted aqueous quantum dots solution onto a carbon-coated TEM copper grid and allowing solvent to evaporate, then further drying in a vacuum oven for 2 h.

## RESULTS

### Design and Synthesis of the QD/Cy5—Disaccharide—Biotin as FRET Acceptor.

The FRET complex and its assembly is shown in Figure 2A. A commercially available CdSe–ZnS core–shell nanocrystal streptavidin conjugate (QDSA) with a 15–20 nm diameter (Supporting Information Figure S1) was chosen as FRET donor and Cy5 hydrazide was selected as FRET acceptor (Figure 1). The Cy5 hydrazide FRET acceptor was coupled to a chondroitin sulfate derived disaccharide that had been biotinylated in a high yield (80–90%) by reductive amination reaction (Figures 1 and 2A).18

The selection of QDSA and Cy5 as FRET pair (Figure 2B) allows the steady-state fluorescence detection by a CE-LIF system equipped with a 488 nm argon ion excitation laser and two different band-pass filters (488 and 650 nm cutoff) (Figure 2C). The use of two filters with different cutoff wavelengths can distinguish the fluorescence coming from donor and acceptor. Using the 488 nm cutoff filter, fluorescence from QDSA at 605 nm and fluorescence from Cy5 (resulting from energy transferred by the QD) at 662 nm are both detected. Using the 650 nm cutoff filter, the fluorescence from QDSA was nearly completely filtered out; as a result, only the fluorescence emission from Cy5 at 662 nm (coming from energy transferred by the QD) is detected. The negative controls, unasssembled components, Cy5, and QDSA, were also tested and produced almost no background fluorescence.

As a FRET model for disaccharide analysis, a chondroitin sulfate derived disaccharide 1 was biotinylated by reductive animation (Figure 1) so that it could be bound to the QDSA through a strong noncovalent streptavidin–biotin interaction (Figure 2A). The biotinylated disaccharide 2 was next covalently conjugated to Cy5 hydrazide using a carbodiimide reaction. Because a double bond at the nonreducing end of this disaccharide was next transferred by the QD) at 662 nm are both detected. Using the 488 nm cutoff filter, the fluorescence from QDSA was nearly completely filtered out; as a result, only the fluorescence emission from Cy5 at 662 nm (coming from energy transferred by the QD) is detected. The negative controls, unasssembled components, Cy5, and QDSA, were also tested and produced almost no background fluorescence.

As a FRET model for disaccharide analysis, a chondroitin sulfate derived disaccharide 1 was biotinylated by reductive animation (Figure 1) so that it could be bound to the QDSA through a strong noncovalent streptavidin–biotin interaction (Figure 2A). The biotinylated disaccharide 2 was next covalently conjugated to Cy5 hydrazide using a carbodiimide reaction. Because a double bond at the nonreducing end of this disaccharide was produced in the enzymatic digestion of chondroitin sulfate, conventional carbodiimide coupling did not effectively promote the reaction between the unsaturated carboxyl group on biotinylated disaccharide 3 and Cy5 hydrazide. Instead, the more reactive DMTMM15 was used to facilitate this coupling reaction, affording the biotinylated and Cy5-labeled disaccharide 4. The last step is to assemble QDSA to biotinylated and Cy5-labeled disaccharide 4 to form a FRET pair 4–QDSA (Figure 2A). The 488 nm laser light (Figure 2C) excites the QD, resulting in QD emission, excitation of Cy5, and emission of Cy5 FRET, allowing detection of the disaccharide analyte (Figure 2B).

### CZE Separation of QDs and QD—Disaccharide Complex.

Conditions for the CE separation of QDSA from its 4–QDSA FRET complex was next examined. CZE of QDSA in a bare fused-silica 75 μm internal diameter (i.d.) capillary using 50 mM sodium borate, sodium carbonate, Tris—hydrochloride, and sodium phosphate buffers at pH 9.0 showed that the sodium carbonate buffer gave narrowest peak width (Supporting Information Figure S2). Furthermore, 18 injections of QDs in sodium carbonate buffer showed excellent repeatability and a small relative standard deviation (RSD) of migration time (2.1%) and peak areas (2.8%).

The separation of QDSA from 4–QDSA complex by CZE was examined on a 75 μm i.d. capillary at pH 8–11. Disaccharide 4 was incubated with QDSA in the dark for 5 h, and CZE was performed on a mixture of QDSA and 4–QDSA complex (Supporting Information Figure S3). Complete separation of QDSA and 4–QDSA complex was unsuccessful. Interestingly, the elution order of QDSA and 4–QDSA complex reversed when the pH of the running buffer reached 10. This is attributed to the pH dependence of the negatively charged residues in the streptavidin coating on QDSA. Peak broadening at pH 10.0–11.0 suggested that neither QDSA nor 4–QDSA complex were stable in buffer higher than pH 9.0; thus, pH 9.0 was selected for subsequent experiments.

Next, the effect of voltage of 8–16 kV on the separation of QDSA and 4–QDSA complex was examined (Supporting Information Figure S4). As separation voltage decreased, migration time of both analytes increased, peak broadening was observed, and resolution did not significantly increase; thus, 16 kV affording the fastest migration time was selected for subsequent experiments.

The utilization of polymeric additives as sieving medium can improve the CE separation of analytes, particularly biomolecules.16,20 CE analysis of a mixture of QDSA and 4–QDSA complex was carried out using various poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) (20 kDa) concentrations (0–4%) as sieving medium (Supporting Information Figure S5). At 2% PEG solution gave an optimal separation of QD–QDSA and 4–QDSA complex. We concluded that the enhanced resolution justified the slight decrease in fluorescence intensity observed, and 2% PEG was included in subsequent experiments.

Finally, an ultrathin capillary (25 μm i.d., 50 cm effective length) was used for the CE separation of QDSA and 4–QDSA complex in 50 μm sodium bicarbonate (pH 9) containing 2% PEG at 16 kV to afford optimal separation (Supporting Information Figure S6).

### FRET Detection of 4–QDSA Complex on CE-LIF.

Reaction mixtures with donor–acceptor ratio of 1:20 with a final QDSA concentration of 10 nM were incubated for 1–6 h and then analyzed by CE-LIF to determine optimal time for the formation of 4–QDSA complex. Mixtures of QDSA and Cy5 hydrazide of the same molar ratio were used as a negative control. In this study, the percentage of 4–QDSA FRET complex in the mixture was approximately calculated by

$$\text{percentage FRET complex} = \frac{A_4 - QDSA \text{ complex}}{A_4 - QDSA \text{ complex} + A_{QDSA}} \times 100$$

where $A_{4 - QDSA \text{ complex}}$ is the peak area of the 4–QDSA FRET complex, and $A_{QDSA}$ is the peak area of QDSA. The relative value of quantum yield was $\beta = 2.5$ ($\beta$ equals 1 only when the quantum yields of two fluorophores are not significantly different).

The electrophoresis peaks of QDSA and 4–QDSA FRET complex were observed in the donor–acceptor channel (488 nm filter), whereas in the acceptor channel (650 nm filter) only fluorescence from 4–QDSA FRET complex was observed (Supporting Information Figure S7, parts A and C). The percentage and fluorescence intensity of 4–QDSA FRET complex increased as reaction time increased (Supporting
After 2 h, the percentage of $4^{-\text{QDSA}}$ FRET complex reached 50%, and after 4 h it increased to 92%, and reached $\sim 100\%$ at 5 h. Therefore, 5 h was chosen as the complex formation time in subsequent experiments. In the control experiments (Supporting Information Figure S7C) with unlinked QD SA and Cy5 hydrazide (1:20), fluorescence signals were not detected in the acceptor channel, indicating that no crosstalk was occurring between donor and acceptor. The FRET efficiency was calculated at $\sim 85\%$.

The concentrations of both QD SA and $4^{-\text{QDSA}}$ FRET complex need to be optimized to perform quantitative FRET. The optimum ratio ($R$) of biotinylated Cy5 disaccharide to QD-650 streptavidin conjugate was examined (Figure 3A). Because QD SA is well-resolved from the $4^{-\text{QDSA}}$ FRET complex, an accurate calculation of FRET efficiency and binding percentage could be obtained. While saturation of QD
binding occurred at \( R = 4 \) (Figure 3B), multiple peaks of the FRET complex were observed until \( R = 16 \), when FRET efficiency reached 91% and remained unchanged even at higher \( R \)-values (Figure 3C).

### Determination of Limit of Detection

The quantitative properties of the method were tested by injecting a series of different \( 4\text{−QDSA} \) FRET complexes. A standard curve was plotted from 10 to 750 fmol/100 \( \mu \)L, a ratio of \( 4\text{−QDSA} \) was fixed at 20:1, and the peak area from the \( 4\text{−QDSA} \) FRET complex from the acceptor channel was recorded and integrated (Figure 4). A limit of detection (LOD) of 36 zmol was obtained. Ten injections were performed to test CE repeatability at 1 pmol/100 \( \mu \)L; an RSD of 1.2% in peak area and 0.9% in migration time of the \( 4\text{−QDSA} \) FRET complex in the acceptor channel indicates the method has excellent repeatability. Moreover, the complex was stable in the dark at room temperatures for at least 5 days and a very low variance of FRET signal in acceptor channel was observed at the low concentrations tested (Figure 4C).

### DISCUSSION

FRET requires a donor having a high quantum yield, spectral overlap of the emission spectrum of donor and absorbance spectrum of acceptor, close proximity (\( R_0 \)) between donor and acceptor, and an effective detection system. Unlike organic dyes, QDs offer some advantages when serving as FRET donors. Because QDs have higher quantum yield than conventional dyes, a QDs/organic dye hybrid FRET system usually produces higher sensitivity than organic-only FRET systems. QDs also have a narrow emission spectrum, which helps to decrease the level of background fluorescence and avoid spectral crosstalk and direct acceptor excitation.21 Furthermore, QDs have longer fluorescent lifetimes, can undergo many excitation cycles, and have size-dependent excitation wavelengths, making QDs excellent candidates as FRET donors.

In this paper we designed a FRET system with a QD and an organic dye, Cy5, that, when coupled to CE-LIF detection, serves as a probe for ultrasensitive quantification. The QD−Cy5 FRET pair, selected for minimum spectral overlap between donor emission and acceptor absorbance, was limited through multiple streptavidin proteins on the QD surface to enrich multiple Cy5 acceptors on a single QD, thus maximizing the overall energy transfer efficiency and sensitivity.22 The QD-605 streptavidin conjugate (QDS) has 4−10 biotin binding sites per QD on the surface.23 Therefore, energy transfer efficiency between QDS and Cy5 was markedly enhanced.

A biotinylated disaccharide 3 serves as an extremely high affinity (10−14 M) bridge between the Cy5 acceptor and the QD50 donor. This interaction is resistant to extremes of heat, pH, and proteolysis, making it a good candidate for CE-based separation. The Förster radius of this 4−QD50 FRET pair can be calculated by

\[
R_0 = \left( \frac{9000(\ln 10)k_p^2Q_D}{N_\lambda 128\pi^3n_0^3} \right)^{1/6}
\]

where \( k_p^2 \) is 2/3 for randomly oriented dipoles,21 the quantum yield for QDs is usually about 0.5, and the refractive index \( n_0 \) is 1.4 for biomolecules in aqueous solution.24 For Cy5, \( \varepsilon_{\lambda}(\lambda_{\text{max}}) = \varepsilon_{\lambda}(647) = 250,000 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1} \). So \( R_0 \) for FRET pair QD-605/Cy5, is about 6 nm.

Because QDS has a broad excitation wavelength range, 488 nm was chosen as the excitation wavelength, minimizing the direct excitation of Cy5 to nearly zero by the laser source. In addition, the narrow emission spectrum of QDS resulted in negligible crosstalk between the QDS and Cy5 fluorophores. QDs and cyanine dye are among the most efficient FRET pairs used over the past decade.15,25,26 However, most of these FRET systems have generally been applied to structural study of larger biomolecules (i.e., proteins and DNA) and not small glycans. Moreover, FRET was mostly detected by imaging and microscopic techniques, which is relatively difficult to apply for quantitative analysis. The highly efficient separation power of CE for donor−acceptor complexes and the unlinked fluorophores, as well as the ability to reflect possible changes in fluorescence intensity due to the conformation changes of donor−acceptor ratio, contributes to the lower analysis uncertainty (variance) and higher FRET efficiency observed in the current study, resulting in more sensitive FRET analysis. A simple two-filter CE-LIF system for quantitative determination of disaccharide (Figure 2C) was used that could be easily extended to other FRET systems based on QDs. CE separation of donor−acceptor complexes from free donor eliminates the interference of non-FRET signal impurity. Thus, this CE-based technique possesses unique advantages of improved FRET efficiency, high sensitivity, and low sample volume requirements.

High-resolution CE was demonstrated to be effective in separating very small amounts of donor from FRET complex, thus solving the problem of signal impurity associated with most conventional FRET measurements. The CE-based separation of QDs and QD complexes typically shows very broad peaks. This is because earlier methods of QD synthesis had resulted in relatively broad size distribution of QDs. Improved QD synthesis has afforded commercially available QDs and derivatized QDs that are much more homogeneous in size, although some size dispersity is still present. Significant optimization of the CE separation was first required, including the selection of mobile phase, capillary type, and voltage conditions. Sodium borate buffer, the most commonly used buffer for CZE separation of QDs, afforded relatively broader peaks than those observed for sodium carbonate buffer. As the buffer pH was increased, the negative charge of the QD50 nanoparticles increased, whereas the net negative charge on disaccharides in the 4−QD50 complex changed very little. Thus, a pH change from 9.0 to 11.0 both broadened peaks and increased elution time without improving resolution, making pH 9.0 the optimal pH value. PEG additive afforded a sieving effect, associated with the pore size of the sieving media, improving peak resolution. However, higher PEG concentration resulted in peak broadening and poorer separations. At these higher PEG concentrations pores become too small for these large analytes to enter, decreasing resolution. The 2% PEG sieving medium concentration, selected to provide optimal performance, is in agreement with previous reports.20

In conclusion, the combination of the high extinction coefficient of the Cy5 dye and high QD quantum yield at a high ratio of dye acceptor per QD donor allows one to achieve efficient FRET with an efficiency of 92%. This sensitive method can detect and quantify disaccharides at concentrations of 36 zmol, over 1000-fold more sensitive than fluorescent labeling of disaccharide analysis by CE-LIF.27 Moreover, the double
labeling of other glycosaminoglycan-derived disaccharides and sialic acid containing glycans has been recently reported, making it possible to extend this detection platform to other acidic carbohydrates, including ones present in proteoglycans, glycolipids, and glycoproteins. Furthermore, because of the capacity of multiple binding of targets per QDs, this method can be potentially applied to oligosaccharide or polysaccharide analysis, which normally has a low FRET due to the long chain and large donor–acceptor distance.
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