Divergent effect of glycosaminoglycans on the in vitro aggregation of serum amyloid A
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Abstract

Serum amyloid A (SAA) is an apolipoprotein involved in poorly understood roles in inflammation. Upon trauma, hepatic expression of SAA rises 1000 times the basal levels. In the case of inflammatory diseases like rheumatoid arthritis, there is a risk for deposition of SAA fibrils in various organs leading to Amyloid A (AA) amyloidosis. Although the amyloid deposits in AA amyloidosis accumulate with the glycosaminoglycan (GAG) heparan sulfate, the role GAGs play in the function and pathology of SAA is an enigma. It has been shown that GAG sulfation is a contributing factor in protein fibrillation and for co-aggregating with a plethora of amyloidogenic proteins. Herein, the effects of heparin, heparan sulfate, hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulfate A, and heparosan on the oligomerization and aggregation properties of pathogenic mouse SAA1.1 were investigated. Delipidated SAA was used to better understand the interactions between SAA and GAGs without the complicating involvement of lipids. The results revealed—to varying degrees—that all GAGs accelerated SAA1.1 aggregation, but had variable effects on its fibrillation. Heparan sulfate, hyaluronic acid, and heparosan did not affect much the fibrillation of SAA1.1. In contrast, chondroitin sulfate A blocked SAA fibril formation and facilitated the formation of spherical aggregates of various sizes. Interestingly, heparin caused formation of spherical SAA1.1 aggregates of various sizes, vast amounts of thin protofibrils, and few long fibrils of various heights. These results suggest that GAGs may have an intrinsic and divergent influence on the aggregation and fibrillation of HDL-free SAA1.1 in vivo, with functional and pathological implications.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Serum amyloid A (SAA) is an apolipoprotein that also interacts with carbohydrates known as glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) [1]. During acute inflammation SAA binds via its N-terminal domain to high density lipoprotein (HDL) and is involved in cholesterol transport, one of SAA’s major functions [2]. In addition, it appears that SAA may be involved in various immunological functions [3]. Upon trauma or infection, pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6) [4,5] trigger hepatic overexpression of SAA to concentrations of up to 1 mg/mL, or 1000 times its basal level [6]. However, following persistent acute inflammation, as occur in rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn’s disease, or tuberculosis, individuals may develop AA amyloidosis, a systemic amyloid disease characterized by deposition of SAA amyloid fibrils in the kidney, spleen, and liver [1]. The SAA amyloid deposits (also known as AA amyloid) largely comprise the N-terminal 76 residues of the SAA1.1 isoform.

For a long time it was known that amyloid deposits in vivo contain glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), in particular heparan sulfate (HS) [7]. GAGs are linear anionic polysaccharides, comprised of disaccharide repeating motifs of hexosamine and hexuronic acid.
and their major functions range from anticoagulation, cell signaling and growth, to joint lubrication (Table 1) [8–10]. Although not appreciated at the time, the presence of carbohydrates in amyloid deposits dates to 1854, when Virchow characterized the “lardaceous” liver deposits first identified by Rokitansky (in 1842) as composed of starch material [11]. Virchow borrowed the botanical word when he designated these deposits “amyloid” (i.e. starch in Latin) [1,12]. Five years later, Kekulé and Friedreich chemically characterized amyloid deposits as mostly proteinaceous [13]. Although amyloid research has focused on the protein/peptide component recent work has demonstrated increased interest in evaluating the intrinsic roles of GAGs in amyloid deposition for numerous proteins [14]. Various in vitro studies have shown that GAGs promote fibril formation by diverse proteins, presumably due to a scaffolding effect that is facilitated by anionic moieties [15,16].

The role of GAGs in AA amyloidosis is particularly intriguing. Interestingly, the original studies of Rokitansky included liver amyloid deposits from a tuberculosis patient, suggesting that these deposits may have originated from SAA [11]. Subsequent studies have shown temporal co-deposition of spleen and liver amyloid, and the GAGs HS and HS proteoglycan (HSPG) in primary and secondary (i.e. AA) amyloidosis [17–20]. Heparin (Hep) and
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAG</th>
<th>Disaccharide structure</th>
<th>Chemical &amp; biological properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heparin (Hep)</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Heparin" /></td>
<td>Mol. Wt. 5–40 kDa&lt;br&gt;90% l-Iduronic acid&lt;br&gt;High sulfation; 3 sulfates/disaccharide&lt;br&gt;Anticoagulant produced in mast cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparan sulfate (HS)</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Heparan sulfate" /></td>
<td>Mol. Wt. 5–40 kDa&lt;br&gt;Varied sulfation; 1 sulfate/disaccharide&lt;br&gt;Cell adhesion &amp; growth factor regulator found in extracellular matrix (ECM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondroitin sulfate A (CSA)</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Chondroitin sulfate A" /></td>
<td>Mol. Wt. 5–50 kDa&lt;br&gt;Mono sulfation on 4-O-GalNAc moiety&lt;br&gt;Most abundant GAG, found in cartilage and biomechanically compressible via imbibing water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaluronic acid (HA)</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Hyaluronic acid" /></td>
<td>Mol. Wt. 4–8000 kDa&lt;br&gt;Non-sulfation&lt;br&gt;Found in connective tissue and has size-dependent functionality (e.g. shock absorber)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparosan (Hepa)</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Heparosan" /></td>
<td>Mol. Wt. range 70,000–82,000 kDa&lt;br&gt;Non-sulfation&lt;br&gt;Biosynthetic precursor of Hep and HS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* X denotes H or SO3 and Y denotes SO3 or COCH3 (Ac).
hyaluronic acid (HA) have also been found in trace amounts in liver and spleen amyloid [17,21–23]. Additionally, it has been shown that chondroitin sulfate A (CSA) may colocalize in AA amyloid-laden liver and spleen [20,24]. This is particularly interesting since individuals with rheumatoid arthritis have the highest incidence of chondroitin sulfate A (CSA) may colocalize in AA amyloid-laden and spleen amyloid [17,21].

Hyaluronic acid (HA) have also been found in trace amounts in liver and spleen amyloid [26,27]. It has been shown that CSA produces the aggregation of HDL-bound human SAA1.1 by directly binding to SAA1.1 under mildly acidic conditions through a pH-sensitive motif involving a histidine residue [28,29]. The evidence that HS plays a role in AA amyloidosis have led to studies to arrest this disease using synthetic sulfated analogs of glucosamine to disrupt the interaction between GAGs and SAA [30–32]. In particular, a promising therapeutic candidate, epodrivate (sodium 1,3-propanedisulfonate), is currently being explored as a therapy for AA amyloidosis [33].

Motivated by the apparent pathological role of GAGs in AA amyloidosis, and the high in vitro propensity of SAA to self-assemble into various oligomers, aggregates, and fibril morphologies, we probed here the effect of various GAGs (Table 1) with different disaccharide moieties and sulfation patterns, on the self-assembly of mouse HDL-free SAA1.1. Because in vivo amyloid deposits do not contain HDL particles, suggesting that SAA undergoes misfolding and fibril formation after dissociation from HDL, here we investigate the inherent interactions of GAGs with lipid-free SAA, the form of SAA that is likely most susceptible to amyloid formation in vivo.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

ThT dye was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. ThT fluorescence experiments were performed using a Hellma 10 mm quartz cuvette. For immunodot blots assay, the primary antibody, OC Anti-Amyloid fibril was purchased from EMD Millipore (Billerica, MD), and the secondary antibody, Goat anti-Rabbit horseradish peroxidase (IgG–HRP) was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). CL-Xposure film and Erase-It background eliminator kit (Pierce), non-fat dry milk, Whatman Protran nitrocellulose blotting membranes, Thermo Scientific Super West Pico chemiluminescent substrate, Tween-20, and autoradiography cassettes were all purchased from Fisher Scientific. Super Sharp Silicon-NCLR Atomic Force Microscopy cantilevers (nominal 2 nm ± 1 nm) were purchased from Nano and More (Soquel, CA). Highest-grade (V1) mica sheets were purchased from Ted Pella through Fisher Scientific (Cincinnati, OH). Hela was prepared through fermentation of Escherichia coli K5 and isolated as previously described [34].

2.2. SAA1.1 expression and purification

The mouse SAA1.1 gene, modified to include an N-terminal His-tag followed by a linker containing the tobacco etch virus (TEV) recognition site, was ordered from GenScript (Piscataway, NJ). The resulting SAA1.1 sequence is as follow: MAHHHHHHSAGENLYFQGFFSFIGEAFQGACMDMVAYTMKKEAGWXDGDKYTHARGNYDAAQRPNGVWAAEKSQDARESFQEEFGRGDEEDTMADQEANRHGNSGDKPNNYRPGLPAKY, where MAHHHHHHSAGENLYFQ represents the His-tag with the TEV recognition site that gets fully removed by the TEV protease during purification. Recombinant His-TEV-SAA1.1 was expressed at 37 °C in E. coli BL21(DE3)plyss (Invitrogen) and purified with tandem immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) and preparative size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Briefly, after scaling up expression, SAA1.1 was induced with isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 3 h at 30 °C, and cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 RPM for 40 min and frozen overnight at −80 °C. Using a denaturing buffer (20 mM Tris, 6 M urea, 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) cells were stirred (lysed) and subsequently sonicated for 6 rounds of 30 s bursts. Next, centrifugation at 16,000 RPM for 45 min yielded a supernatant cell lysate that was passed through a 0.45 μm filter. His-SAA was separated by employing IMAC using a 5 mL HisTrap column (HP®). S. Ge Healthcare coupled to a GE AKTA Prime® chromatography system (GE Life Sciences) at 22 °C. After concentration of His-SAA fractions, tandem purification was performed with SEC using a prep-grade column (HiLoad™ 16/60 Superdex 75, GE Healthcare) and the fractions were concentrated and dialyzed against refolding buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0 at 4 °C). The His-SAA was proteolyzed by TEV protease following overnight incubation at 22 °C, and quenched with 4 M urea and 1 M guanidine hydrochloride. Subsequent purification with IMAC and SEC techniques produced pure SAA, which was dialyzed overnight against refolding buffer, dispensed, and used expeditiously or stored at −80 °C.

2.3. GAG solutions

The following lyophilized GAGs: Hep, HS, HA, CSA, and Hepa were each weighed in an analytical balance equipped with anti-static U-electrode (Mettler Toledo). Lyophilized GAGs were stored at 22 °C until needed. Refolding buffer was used to prepare stock solutions (10–30 mg/mL), and was then stored for short-term (4 °C) and long-term storage (−20 °C).

2.4. Preparation of SAA and SAA–GAG protofibrils and fibrils (aggregates)

SAA1.1 at 0.3–0.5 mg/mL (20 mM Tris pH 7.4) was thawed at 4 °C overnight. Next, SAA1.1 alone and SAA1.1 combined with each GAG to a final GAG concentration of 0.1 mg/mL was incubated at 4 °C (for oligomerization study) and 37 °C (for aggregation study) in an insulated incubator (to minimize condensation) in sealed Eppendorf tubes, without agitation. For binding studies, SAA1.1 alone (0.3 mg/mL) was dialyzed against 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4 at 4 °C and kept on ice (refolded oligomers) and/or subsequently incubated at 37 °C for 2–3 days to produce protofibrillar species (confirmed by AFM).

2.5. Analytical SEC of SAA–GAG oligomers

Oligomerization of SAA1.1 was monitored by employing a Superdex® 200 HR 10/300 column coupled to a GE AKTA Prime® chromatography system at 4 °C (GE Life Sciences). The analytical column was equilibrated with 20 mM Tris 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 prior to running SAA to monitor each oligomerization stage. SAA1.1 at 0.5 mg/mL concentration combined with GAG at a final GAG concentration of 0.1 mg/mL was incubated at 4 °C. Each aliquot (100 μL sample) was loaded, monitored at 280 nm, and eluted at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. This was repeated at 2, 5, 7, 10, 14 days for each sample. Three separate experiments were performed and herein only 14 days are represented for brevity and clarity. UNICORN 5.11 (GE Healthcare) software was used to analyze peaks.
2.6. Aggregation kinetics monitored by Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence

SAA1.1 and SAA1.1 + GAG aggregation kinetics was monitored via the fluorescence of the ubiquitous fibril dye, ThT. To a working final volume of 300 μL (in 50 mM glycine-NaOH, pH 8.5) was added resuspended 20 μL aliquots of SAA1.1 (final concentration of 0.3 mg/mL), and ThT to a final concentration of 25 μM in a quartz cuvette (1 cm path length). ThT excitation was performed at 440 nm and emission maximum at 485 nm was recorded for 30 s on a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Danbury, CT). Bi-hourly readings, for up to the first 12–18 h were followed by 12-h readings for up to 8 days for SAA1.1. The acquired data was averaged from three separate experiments.

2.7. Immuno-dot blot fibril kinetic experiments

At desired time intervals (e.g. 0, 2, 12, 24, 48 h) SAA and SAA–GAG aggregates were resuspended, diluted (SAA1.1 – 0.1 mg/mL), and 2 μL were spotted on nitrocellulose membranes and allowed to air dry for 10 min at 22 °C and stored at 4 °C. After spotting, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4 °C in a solution of 10% nonfat dry milk (in 20 mM PBS pH 7.4) to block nonspecific binding. Washing was performed 3 consecutive times with solution of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 2% Tween-20 detergent (Sigma) (PBS), followed by copious washing with PBS. OC primary antibody (Millipore), which detects amyloid fibrils and fibrillar oligomers, was diluted 1:1000 (in 5% nonfat dry milk in 20 mM PBS pH 7.4) to block nonspecific binding. Washing was performed 3 consecutive times with solution of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with 2% Tween-20 detergent (Sigma) (PBS). After reaction, excess OC antibody was removed with PBS (3 washes) and PBS. Next, the membrane was incubated with goat-anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen) at 22 °C for 1 h. After this secondary reaction, the membrane was again washed, then reacted with chemiluminescent substrate for 1 min (ECL Western blotting Substrate, Thermo Fisher) and developed using X-ray film (CL-XPosure Film, Thermo Scientific). Overexposed film and artifacts were removed with Background Eliminator (Thermo Scientific Pierce).

2.8. Probing particles with atomic force microscopy (AFM)

SAA and SAA–GAG aggregated samples after co-incubation for 7 days were diluted to 0.05–0.1 mg/mL and 20 μL was deposited on freshly cleaved mica (Ted Pella) and allowed to air dry for 1 h. Mica samples were washed three times with 1 mL of 0.2 μm filtered and degassed Milli-Q water, and allowed to dry overnight in fume hood. Using super sharp cantilevers with a nominal radius of curvature of 2 nm (SSS-NCLR, Nanosensors) and AFM (MFP3D AFM, Asylum Research) triplicate scans were performed with the following parameters: 2, and 10 μm scan size, 512 pixel density, 4-channel AC (non-contact) mode. Using Asylum Research 6.22 AFM image quality control was performed by masking (determined by height boundaries of 100–200 pm ± 100 fm) and elimination of irregularities, and finally converting raw amplitude trace to 300 dpi TIFF. The same mask was used to perform particle size distribution analysis of the raw height trace scan, and the statistical raw data was used to obtain histograms.

2.9. Preparation of heparin sensor chip and measuring interaction with SAA1.1 refolded oligomers

Hep (2 mg) was combined with Amine-PEG4-Biotin (2 mg, Pierce, Franklin, OH) in 200 μL H2O and NaN3NBH3 (10 mg). The reaction was incubated at 70 °C for 24 h. Another aliquot of NaN3NBH3 was added to the reaction and incubated an additional 24 h. The biotinylated Hep was purified using Amicon 30000 MWCO spin columns (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and lyophilized. BiAcore 3000 (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) was used to measure the interaction of SAA1.1 refolded oligomers with Hep. Briefly, 20 μL of biotinylated Hep in HBS-EP (10 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) (HEPES), 150 mM sodium chloride, 3 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.005% polysorbate P20, pH 7.4) running buffer was injected over sensor chip flow cell 2, flow cell 3, and flow cell 4, respectively, at a flow rate of 10 μL/min. The successful immobilizations were confirmed by the observation of a ~100 resonance unit (RU) increase in the sensor flow cells. Flow cell 1 was reserved as a control cell using a 1 min injection of saturated biotin solution. SAA1.1 formation solutions prepared in 10 mM HEPES were diluted to different concentrations, and 90 μL was injected at a flow rate of 30 μL/min using HBS-EP as running buffer. A 3 min dissociation period was allowed after the injection by flowing running buffer through the sensor chip. The surface was then washed with 1 min injections of 2 M sodium chloride and HBS-EP buffer to aid in surface regeneration. The fitting of sensorgrams to a Langmuir binding model was used to obtain the SAA1.1–Hep dissociation constants.

2.10. Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy

SAA1.1 at 0.15 mg/L and SAA1.1 combined with the aforementioned GAGs to a final GAG concentration of 0.1 mg/mL were incubated at 37 °C for 1–3 weeks. Far-UV CD wavelength scan measurements from 195 to 260 nm were performed on an Olis DSM 1000 CD spectrophotometer (Bogart, GA) with a 1-mm path Hellma quartz cuvette. For each SAA1.1 + GAG combination, triplicate wavelength scans were performed, averaged, subtracted from the GAG spectrum, and plotted against the molar ellipticity. AFM was performed to corroborate presence of aggregate formation.

3. Results

3.1. Monitoring the effects of GAGs on SAA1.1 folding and oligomerization

As an initial assessment of the inherent ability of SAA1.1 to interact with GAGs, we refolded SAA1.1 in the absence or presence of the various GAGs. We have previously shown that upon dialysis at 4 °C, urea-denatured SAA1.1 refolds into a mixture of quaternary structures, including dodecamer, tetramer, and monomer [35]. Therefore, we monitored the refolding of SAA1.1 at 4 °C in the presence of GAGs for 14 days and probed the oligomeric structure of SAA1.1 by size exclusion chromatography (SEC). As expected, in the absence of GAGs, SAA1.1 refolded into dodecamer (Ve – 12.5 mL), tetramer (Ve – 15.8 mL), and monomer (Ve – 17.7 mL) (Fig. 1, top trace). For SAA1.1 + GAG, the dodecamer remained the highest peak overall (with the exception of SAA1.1 + Hepa) in the SEC data (Fig. 1A), although the relative distribution of oligomers was not consistent from experiment to experiment. Interestingly, only Hepa showed some SAA1.1 aggregation in the SEC chromogram, as noted by the void volume (~8 mL) peak. However, the overall oligomer peak area for most SAA1.1 + GAG combination, triplicate runs showed a broad peak (~20% of the total area) in the SEC data.

3.2. GAGs accelerate the aggregation of SAA1.1

We previously studied the fibrillation kinetics of SAA1.1 by using the dye ThT, which fluoresces upon binding to specific motifs
within proteins aggregates [36,37]. We showed that when SAA1.1 was incubated at 37 °C it exhibited a ThT fluorescence (ThT fluo) lag phase of 3–4 days before forming amyloid-like fibrils [35]. To determine the effect of GAGs on the fibrillation kinetics of SAA1.1, we incubated SAA1.1 (0.3 mg/mL) with the various GAGs (0.1 mg/mL) at 37 °C, and monitored its aggregation using ThT fluo. In the absence of GAGs, SAA1.1 exhibited the expected lag phase of ~100 h (Fig. 2), whereas all GAGs significantly reduced the lag phase of SAA1.1 (Fig. 2). In particular, SAA1.1 in the presence of Hep eliminated the aggregation lag phase and almost doubled the ThT fluo intensity. In contrast, SAA1.1—CSA exhibited the lowest ThT fluo intensity, with a ~25% decrease. For samples containing HS, HA, and Hepa, the ThT fluo signal was similar to that of SAA1.1. Thus, whereas all GAGs shortened the ThT fluo lag phase of SAA1.1 aggregation, the differences in signal plateau suggest differences in the structure and/or amount of the SAA1.1 species formed [38].

3.3. AFM shows that GAGs have major effects on the aggregation and fibrillation of SAA1.1

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used to assess the effect of GAGs on SAA1.1 aggregation, including whether the ThT fluo data was consistent with the formation of amyloid-like fibrils. SAA1.1 (0.3 mg/mL) was incubated at 37 °C in the absence or presence of 0.1 mg/mL GAG and then allowed to aggregate for 7 days. The AFM images showed that all GAGs tested had an effect on fibril formation ranging from minimal to complete inhibition. The AFM images of SAA1.1 showed predominant formation of long fibrils with an average particle height of ~5 nm (Fig. 3A). It should be noted from the plot of particle height distribution (Fig. 3A insert) that the count of SAA1.1 particles is low because the AFM software only takes into account separate particles, and considers the fibril itself as a single particle. SAA1.1 fibril formation in the presence of HS resulted in a similar particle height distribution profile as SAA1.1, although most of the fibrils were shorter (Fig. 3B). HA and Hepa, a precursor of Hep and HS, interfered significantly with SAA1.1 fibril formation (Fig. 3C and D). The AFM images showed a wide distribution of particles ranging from small oligomers to typical long SAA1.1 fibrils, although few of the latter. The diversity of aggregates induced by HA and Hep is consistent with the particle height distribution observed for these samples (Fig. 3C and D, insets).

Hep is commonly used as a model of HS in amyloid studies [39]. The AFM for Hep + SAA1.1 samples showed an immense amount of small oligomers and curvilinear protobifibrils while forming few long typical SAA1.1-like fibrils, although few of the latter. The AFM data showed that GAGs lower the kinetic barrier for SAA1.1 fibril formation. In the case of SAA1.1—CSA exhibited the lowest ThT fluo lag phase of SAA1.1 aggregation, the differences in signal plateau suggest differences in the structure and/or amount of the SAA1.1 species formed [38]. Together, the ThT fluo and AFM data suggest that the GAGs lower the kinetic barrier for SAA1.1 self-assembly into spherical aggregates of various size and different propensities for amyloid fibril formation. In the case of SAA—Hep, it is plausible some of these larger spherical aggregates.
aggregates may interact with amyloidogenic oligomers and proto-fibrils to cap fibril formation (Fig. 4), although further studies are required to confirm this possibility.

3.4. Surface plasmon resonance experiments reveal heparin binding to SAA1.1 refolded oligomers

The acceleration of SAA1.1 aggregation observed by ThT (Fig. 2) and the resulting effect on fibril formation suggest that Hep may be interacting with SAA1.1 early in the aggregation process. To gain further insight on the SAA1.1–Hep interaction we used surface plasmon resonance (SPR). Hep was covalently immobilized on a streptavidin chip, and refolded oligomers of SAA1.1 (as in Fig. 1) were injected into the SPR instrument. The SPR sensorgrams of SAA1.1 oligomer–heparin interaction were obtained (Fig. 5A).

These sensorgrams fit well to a Langmuir 1:1 binding model with low concentration binding (Fig. 5B). The SPR data show that SAA1.1 oligomer binds to heparin with dissociation constants $K_D$ of $\sim 4.7 \text{ nM}$. The SPR competition assay was utilized to determine the binding preference of SAA1.1 oligomer to Hep, HS, and CSA. SAA1.1 oligomer at $2.0 \text{ mM}$ was pre-mixed with $1.0 \text{ mM}$ of GAG and injected over the heparin chip. SPR competition sensorgrams and bar graphs of the GAG competition levels are displayed in Fig. 5C and D, respectively. Hep produced the strongest inhibition in SAA1.1 oligomer binding of immobilized Hep by competing $>80\%$ of the SAA1.1 oligomer binding to immobilized heparin on the chip surface. Modest inhibitory activities were observed for HS, and weak inhibitory activities were observed for CSA. Thus, the strong interaction of immobilized Hep with SAA1.1 oligomers is consistent with the elimination of the fibrillation lag phase observed by ThT.

Fig. 3. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) of SAA1.1 aggregates formed when co-incubated with GAGs (0.10 mg/mL) at 37 °C for 7 days. Each AFM contains amplitude trace (large image), a particle height distribution plot for each trace (top figure), a 5× zoom of the black square in large image (middle, red scale bar 500 nm), and a height section of area underneath black arrow (bottom). AFM of SAA1.1 alone (A) shows fibrils averaging to typical heights of 4 nm (black scale bar 1 μm). SAA1.1 incubated with HS, HA, and Hep reveals that their morphologies are unique to each system, but produce “SAA1.1-like” fibrils. Conversely, fibrils grown with Hep show a unique bifurcated pathway of abundant protofibrillar populations with fibrillation. Incubation with CSA inhibited fibril formation and generated protofibrils and big oligomers ranging in height similar to full-length fibrils.
fluo (Fig. 2), the dramatic impact on formation of protofibrils observed by AFM (Fig. 3), and previous studies showing that heparin binds to SAA peptides [28].

3.5. All GAGs facilitate the formation of amyloidogenic oligomers and protofibrils

The accelerated increase in ThT fluo observed for all SAA1.1 + GAG samples (Fig. 2) suggests that these GAGs facilitate the formation of SAA1.1 oligomers—aggregates that may be precursors to amyloid-like fibrils. However, the AFM data (Fig. 3) shows that the effect of GAGs produce different types of aggregates ranging from SAA1.1-like fibrils (e.g. HS) to short curvilinear protofibrils (e.g. Hep) to spherical aggregates (e.g. CSA). To probe whether SAA1.1 in the presence of GAGs still formed fibrillar species, we used the OC polyclonal antibody, which identifies generic epitopes present in many amyloid fibrils and fibrillar oligomers, but not in prefibrillar oligomers and natively folded proteins [40,41]. Fig. 6 shows that all SAA1.1 + GAG samples exhibit similar profile of OC-positive oligomers—aggregates. This observation is consistent with the ThT data suggesting the presence of amyloidogenic species. Furthermore, the AFM data show extensive presence of protofibrils and/or fibrils in all SAA1.1 + GAG samples, including SAA1.1–CSA, which exhibit many short protofibrils (Fig. 3).

To directly probe the presence of beta sheet structure on these SAA1.1 + GAG samples, we carried out far-UV circular dichroism (CD) analysis of SAA samples after 7 days of incubation at 37 °C, pH 7.4. Refolded oligomers of SAA1.1 contain largely alpha helical structure with CD minima at 222 and 208 nm, and very little beta structure [35]. In contrast, SAA1.1 fibrils exhibit two CD minima at 222 (minor) and 205 nm (major), and together with deep UV Raman experiments, the data suggest SAA1.1 fibrils contain significant amounts of unordered secondary content with modest amounts of beta sheet structure [35]. The CD results shown in Fig. 7 revealed minimal changes in the 205 nm minima, but some changes in the 220–230 region minima. Overall the OC and CD experiments demonstrated that the GAGs do not seem to alter the inherent ability of SAA1.1 to form amyloidogenic oligomers—protofibrils that contain modest amounts of beta-sheet structure and the motif for OC binding.

4. Discussion

4.1. GAGs have diverse effects on the intrinsic aggregation and amyloid formation of lipid-free SAA1.1 in vitro

The presence of GAGs accelerated the aggregation of SAA1.1 into oligomeric, protofibrillar and/or fibrillar species that bound ThT (Fig. 2) and the OC antibody (Fig. 5), thereby suggesting that many of these species are on the amyloid-formation pathway. The AFM data demonstrate GAGs possess an intrinsic ability to affect the assembly of HDL-free SAA1.1 species into amyloid-like fibrils (Fig. 3), as illustrated in Fig. 8. We classified the effect of GAGs on SAA1.1 aggregation into three general categories representing the aggregation of SAA1.1 into mostly fibrils (HS, Hepa, and HA), short flexible protofibrils (Hep), and spherical particles (CSA) (Fig. 8).
Although sulfation, carboxylation, and charge pattern of GAGs have been shown to be important for their effect on amyloid formation, this GAG structure-function correlation is not obvious in our results and warrants further investigation [42,43]. However, our AFM data show that further studies are necessary to better understand the intrinsic effects of sulfation and carboxylation patterns, and saccharide conformation and content, on the aggregation propensity of SAA and other amyloidogenic proteins.

Recent studies have suggested that GAGs may have scaffolding and capping effects on the fibrillation of some amyloidogenic proteins [44–46]. Heparin, the most sulfated and highly charged GAG known has been shown to accelerate fibril formation, presumably by serving as a scaffold where fibrillar oligomers easily orient to form protofibrils and subsequently fibrils [8,46]. Interestingly, our results show that SAA1.1 + Hep aggregation results in an abundance of protofibrillar structures that are much thinner (1–3 nm height) than those formed by SAA1.1 (Figs. 3 and 4). We have previously shown that mouse SAA2.2 and SAA1.1 form fibrils of different morphology [35], with the thinner SAA2.2 protofibrils and the early fibrils being similar in height as those seen for SAA1.1 + Hep. Since SAA1.1 + Hep is able to form oligomers of various sizes (Fig. 4), we speculate that perhaps Hep altered the early aggregation pathway of SAA1.1 to resemble that of SAA2.2 by favoring the formation of smaller SAA2.2-like oligomer precursor of thinner protofibrils. Furthermore, the presence of thinner SAA1.1-Hep protofibrils that do not progress towards full-length fibrils may be due to a capping effect caused by larger spherical particles (Fig. 4). Although it is not clear how the sulfation pattern and charge distribution (~3 per disaccharide) of Hep may be producing this effect, other studies have shown this capping phenomenon that inhibits fibril formation. Gupta et al. showed biophysical evidence that nucleobindin protein caps prefibrillar aggregates at the ends of IAPP [45]. In another report, Sievers et al. was able to use a structure-based approach to design a molecular cap made of synthetic amino acids and thereby inhibit tau protein fibrillation [47].

In contrast to Hep, CSA, the mono-sulfated and carboxylated GAG induced SAA1.1 to aggregate mostly into spherical particles with some very short protofibrils (Figs. 3 and 8). Interestingly, a similar morphological effect of CSA was reported by McLaughlin et al. where they found CSA induced spherical oligomers for the immunoglobulin light-chain protein, and suggested the effect may...
be driven by CSA’s glucuronic acid moiety [48]. In another study, CSA caused the spontaneous fibrillation of b2-microglobulin, indicating the effect of CSA on fibril formation may be protein-dependent, and this may be the case for other GAGs [49]. CSA’s single 4-O-sulfation of GalNAc (galactosamine N-acetyl) is the most notable difference in all the GAGs investigated in our study. As Castillo et al. suggest, conformational changes of GAG moieties, a reduction in sulfation, and their linear arrangement may influence the inhibition of fibrillation [42]. Thus, CSA’s unique GalNAc moiety, its single sulfation pattern, or its glucuronic acid, either individually or collectively, may account for CSA’s inhibition of SAA1.1 fibril formation in vitro, and warrant further studies to understand the structure–function relationship of this effect.

In Fig. 8, we grouped the remaining three GAGs for their modest effect on SAA1.1 aggregation formation. In each case there was significant amount of fibril formation that resembled those formed by GAG-free apo SAA1.1, mostly differing in the amount of spherical species present. HS exhibited the least spherical species, whereas HA had the most. HS, HA, and Hep contain the same β-o-glucuronic acid, but HS also contains (in its variable sequence) a 2-O-sulfo-α-L-iduronic acid that is also present in Hep. Although not as highly sulfated as Hep, the sulfation pattern of HS (−1 per disaccharide) is more prominent in the glucosamine sugar moiety. These sulfation pattern differences may allow HS to elicit different effects than Hep on the aggregation of SAA1.1. HS, HA, and Hep all contain the glucuronic acid present in CSA, but also the N-acetylated glucosamine moiety present in Hep. These carboxyl moieties (in HS, HA, and Hep) have a decreased charge density in comparison with sulfation sites present in Hep, and may help explain why they don’t behave like Hep in our study. It is important to note that experimental conditions may also influence the effect of GAGs on fibril formation. For example, in contrast to our results, prior SAA studies involving different solvent condition and HDL–SAA have shown similar effect of HS and Hep on SAA fibrillation [28,29]. Nevertheless, our data highlight the intrinsic ability of GAGs to influence in different ways the aggregation and fibrillation of apo SAA1.1, an observation that is perhaps influenced by experimental conditions, the marginal stability of SAA, and SAA’s innate propensity for forming different oligomers and morphologically distinct fibrils [35,50–54].

4.2. Potential implications for the role of GAGs in reactive amyloidosis

A direct comparison of our results with many prior studies exploring the role of HS and Hep in AA amyloidosis is not trivial because of the different systems and experimental conditions involved. The aim of this study was to investigate the intrinsic effect...
of the interactions between HDL-free SAA1.1 and GAGs on SAA1.1 fibrillation. It is important to note that our studies provide unique information that complements other in vitro studies using lipidated SAA. Our study is the first to investigate the inherent effect of different GAGs on the aggregation of pathogenic mice SAA1.1 in the lipid-free form, and has yielded novel results on the potential role of GAGs on the aggregation, fibrillation, and fibril morphology of SAA1.1 that may be relevant to further understanding the role of GAGs in AA amyloidosis. Of particular relevance, our results show that HS and Hep, which is commonly used to mimic HS, do not have the same effect on SAA1.1 aggregation. The aforementioned reasons (e.g. sulfation pattern, experimental conditions) may be reasons for this in vitro behavior, but it may raise the question whether similar differences may occur in vivo. Furthermore, CSA has a remarkable inhibitory effect on the in vitro fibrillation of SAA1.1.

Although HS is the most abundant GAG present in AA deposits and has been the most widely investigated in SAA studies, various GAGs, in particular CS, have been identified in the amyloid deposits of afflicted individuals and in experimental models of the disease [55–57]. Interestingly, in a study of mice lacking serum amyloid P (SAP), a major component of the amyloid deposits found in AA amyloidosis, the amyloid deposits contained significant amounts of CS in the form of spherical particles [55]. Thus, it is possible that the interaction of CSA with SAA in vivo also influences the aggregation of SAA, although the pathological relevance of such an effect, if present, is not clear at this time. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that CSA is the most abundant GAG in connective tissue and is involved in joint lubrication. Furthermore, individuals with rheumatoid arthritis comprise 45% of all cases of AA amyloidosis [25]. Further studies are required to probe whether CSA may be related to the incidence of AA in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

The effect of Hep on the structure of SAA1.1 fibrils is intriguing. Prior studies have shown that heparin accelerates fibril formation of various proteins/peptides, including alpha synuclein [58], gelsolin [46], β2-microglobulin [59] and A beta [60]. Here, we show that Hep significantly enhances the kinetics of SAA1.1 aggregation into thin curvilinear protofibrils, but delays the formation of fibrils. Thus, it appears that the catalytic effect of Hep on protein aggregation is a common effect but the effect on aggregate structure and fibril morphology may differ, especially for SAA [28,29]. A recent study exploring the effect of Hep on peptides comprising the N-terminus (1–27), the central region (43–63), and the C-terminus (77–104) of human SAA1.1 showed the Hep enhanced amyloid formation of SAA (1–27) and SAA (43–63), but not SAA (77–104) [61]. Interestingly, it was shown that SAA (1–27) exhibited the strongest binding to Hep, resulting in the formation of short and straight fibrils, whereas Hep induced SAA (43–63) to form long and elastic fibrils. This morphological sensitivity of SAA is consistent with our recent findings that mice SAA2.2 and SAA1.1 form amyloid-like fibrils in vitro through distinct pathways leading to morphologically different fibrils [54].

Although, there have been many studies probing the role of GAGs on amyloid formation of various disease-related proteins and peptides most of these focus on HS and/or Hep and do not address effect of different GAGs on the ultrastructure of the various protein aggregates (i.e. oligomers, protofibrils, and fibrils) [14]. Also, unique to our GAG-dependent study, was the use of full-length lipid-free mouse SAA1.1 and pH 7.4. Other fibrillation studies of SAA have simulated the acidic endosome conditions (pH ~ 5), and in some cases involved peptides [61]. Our study shows that in addition to the known effects of GAGs on the fibrillation kinetics and proteolytic shielding of fibrils, GAGs could have a major effect in modulating the aggregation pathway, leading to different oligomers, aggregate heterogeneity, and fibrils of different morphology. In the case of SAA, further studies using relevant biological systems are needed to further understand the extent to which different GAGs contribute towards or protect from the development of AA amyloidosis.
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