Heparan sulfates from bat and human lung and their binding to the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 virus
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ABSTRACT

Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in a pandemic and continues to spread at an unprecedented rate around the world. Although a vaccine has recently been approved, there are currently few effective therapeutics to fight its associated disease in humans, COVID-19. SARS-CoV-2 and the related severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV-1), and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) result from zoonotic respiratory viruses that have bats as the primary host and an as yet unknown secondary host. While each of these viruses has different protein-based cell-surface receptors, each rely on the glycosaminoglycan, heparan sulfate as a co-receptor. In this study we compare, for the first time, differences and similarities in the structure of heparan sulfate in human and bat lungs. Furthermore, we show that the spike glycoprotein of COVID-19 binds 3.5 times stronger to human heparan sulfate than bat lung heparan sulfate.

1. Introduction

Bats are the only mammals capable of sustained flight and possess many notable characteristics such as echolocation, ultraviolet vision, and exceptionally long lifespans (Brunet-Rossinni & Austad, 2005; Suga & Shimozawa, 1974; Winter, Lopez, & von Helversen, 2003). There are more than 1,200 species of bats grouped as Megachiroptera, which includes flying foxes and Microchiroptera, a more diverse group that include pollen, fruit, insect, hematogenous, and fish-eating bats. Many bats live in close proximity to humans and some species have become hosts for zoonotic viral pathogens (Olival et al., 2017).

Bats have received considerable attention in recent years as potential vectors of several deadly zoonotic viruses (Olival et al., 2017), most recently the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Fig. 1). It has long been known that bats serve as vectors of most lyssaviruses and the flavivirus Rio Bravo (de Oliveira Figueiredo et al., 2020; Price, 1978). Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV-1), Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV), and most recently COVID-19 have intensified this interest given the public health significance. Apart from lyssaviruses, it is unclear to what degree these highly pathogenic viruses result in clinical disease in bats (Guito et al., 2021). This lack of significant pathology would allow for more interspecies spread, including transmission to humans. Several studies have demonstrated higher level of interferons present in bats as compared to other animals, including humans, as cytokines generated by host immune cells that enhance the initial immune response towards infection promoting rapid viral clearance or persistent infections (Brook et al., 2020; Pavlovich et al., 2018; Schountz, Baker, Butler, & Munster, 2017). Thus, in zoonotic infection bats may be the initial host serving as a viral reservoir.

Coronaviruses (CoV) are well-known viruses that infect humans,
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Since the beta-coronaviruses SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV and SARS-
CoV-2 utilize heparan sulfate as their co-receptor for infecting respira-
tory epithelial cells and appear to have originated in bats, we compar-
atively examined the structure of lung-derived bat and human heparan
sulfate. We hypothesized that bat lung heparan sulfate should act as a
co-receptor since bats can serve as a reservoir for SARS-CoV-2 but that
the interaction of spike glycoprotein should be weaker for of bat heparan
sulfate than human heparan sulfate. While there have been some studies
on human lung heparan sulfate (Uhl et al., 2020) and that from other
species such as mouse (Warda et al., 2006), to our knowledge there have
been no studies on bat-derived heparan sulfate. The current study de-
scribes the first isolation and characterization of bat lung heparan sul-
fate and compares its binding for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, specifically
assessing the receptor binding domain (RBD) and further utilizing a
SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype to human lung heparan sulfate.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Eight bat lungs (4 male and 4 female) were harvested from rabies
negative wild Big Brown bats (Eptesicus fuscus) submitted for routine
rabies testing. Tissue was autoclaved to ensure any other potential
pathogens that may be present in these bats would be rendered non-
infectious. Previous studies have demonstrated that GAGs are stable
under standard conditions used in autoclaving; indeed, heparin is
routinely sterilized by autoclaving. Additionally, 6 human lung tissue
samples derived from male cadavers were obtained from the University
of Vermont (UVM) autopsy services under appropriate institutional
guidelines. Porcine intestinal heparin (~18 kDa) was from Celsus
(Cincinnati, OH, USA). 4-deoxy-α-L-threo-4-enopyranosyluronic acid
(ΔUA)-N-acetyl-α-glucosamine (GlcNAc) (HS 0S); ΔUA-N-sulfoo-α-
glucosamine (GlcNS) (HS NS); ΔUA-GlcNAc4S (HS 0S); ΔUA-GlcNAc
(2S); ΔUA-GlcNS6S (HS NS6S); ΔUA2S-Glcn (HS NS2S); ΔUA2S-
GlcNAc6S (HS 2S6S); ΔUA2S-GlcNS6S (HS TriS); ΔUA-N-acetyl-α-
galactosamine (GalNAc) (CS 0S); ΔUA2S-GalNAc (CS 2S); ΔUA-Gal-
NAc4S (CS 4S); ΔUA2S-GalNAc4S (CS 2S4S); ΔUA2S-GalNAc6S (CS 2S6S);
ΔUA-GalNAc4S6S (CS TriS); ΔUA-GlcNAc (hyaluronan, HA) were purchased
from Iduron (Manchester, UK). 2-aminoacridone (AMAC) was pur-
chased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Actinase E was from
Kaken Biochemicals (Tokyo, Japan).

2.2. SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein receptor binding domain (RBD)

A codon-optimized construct encoding amino acids 318–537 (RVQ...
VNK) of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (PDB 6VXX) was synthesized and
cloned into the pTwist CMV Betaglobin WPRE Neo vector (Twist Bio-
sciences, San Francisco, CA). The synthesized gene also encoded a
human Ig kappa leader sequence on the amino terminus of the protein
and a GS linker and 6× His tag on the carboxy terminus. The plasmid
was produced in DH5α E. coli and transfected into Exp293 F cells
(ThermoFisher) using 25,000 Da linear polyethylenimine (Polysciences,
Inc.). Six days following transfection, supernatant was harvested and
recombinant RBD was purified via passage over a HisTrap HP column
(GE Healthcare). Protein concentration was measured by BCA (Thermo
Scientific) and purification was verified by SDS-PAGE.

2.3. SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype

HEK293 T cells (ATCC # CRL3216) were cultured in DMEM (Corning
Inc) supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, Fisher Scientific)
at 37 °C with 5 % CO2. HEK293 T cells (2 × 10⁵) were plated in a 100-
nm tissue culture dish and transfected the next day when they were
approximately 75 % confluent with a combination of the following plasmids: 9 μg of pLV-eGFP (a gift from Pantelis Tsoulfas, Addgene
plasmid # 36083; http://n2t.net/addgene:36083; RRID:Addgene_36083), 9 μg of pPAX2 (a gift from Didier Trono, Addgene plasmid # 12260; http://n2t.net/addgene:12260; RRID:Addgene_12260), and 3 μg of pCAGGS-S (SARS-CoV-2) (Catalog No. NR-52310: BEI Resources)
or VSV-G (a gift from Tannishtha Reya, Addgene plasmid # 14888; http://n2t.net/addgene:14888; RRID:Addgene_14888) as control. Poly-
ethenimine (PEI) reagent (Millipore Sigma, # 408727) was used for
transfection following manufacturer’s protocols. Next day, the cells
were checked for transfection efficiency under a fluorescent microscope,
indicated by GFP fluorescence. The supernatants from cell culture at 24
h were harvested and stored at 4 °C and 10 mL of complete media
(DMEM containing 10 % FBS) was added to the plates. The supernatant
from cell culture at 48 h was harvested and combined with the 24 h
supernatant for each sample. The combined supernatants were spun in
a tabletop centrifuge for 5 min at 2,000 g to pellet the residual cells and
then passed through a 0.45 μm syringe filter. Aliquots were frozen at

Fig. 1. The transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus from bat to human directly or through some unknown intermediate hosts.
– 80 °C. New HEK293 T cells plated in 12 well tissue culture dishes were infected with the harvested virus (supernatant) with a dilution range of 10^2 to 10^4. Virus (pLV-S) titers were calculated by counting the GFP positive cells in the dilution with 20–100 GFP positive cells.

2.4. General procedure for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) recovery and analysis

Lung tissues were washed in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 4 °C, then rinsed by chloroform: methanol (2: 1, vol/vol). Fat was further removed by blinding bat and human tissues with three solvent mixtures, chloroform: methanol (2: 1, 1: 1 and 1: 2, vol/vol), each centrifuged, removed liquid, left overnight at room temperature, and defatted tissue is dried in a fume hood. Defatted sample were suspended centrifuged, removed liquid, left overnight at room temperature, and analysis 2.4. General procedure for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) recovery and positive cells in the dilution with 20°/wt/vol of a nonspecific protease actinase E (20 mg/mL) 24 h, boiled to deactivate actinase E and then freeze-dried. The resulting material was made up to 8 M urea and 2% (wt/vol) 3-((3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethyl-ammonio)-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS) buffer at pH 8.3 and any insoluble residue was removed by centrifugation. Vivapure Maxi Q strong anion exchange (SAX) spin columns (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany) were equilibrated with 8 M urea and 2% CHAPS buffer and sample was loaded onto the Maxi Q columns and washed with 8 M urea and 2% CHAPS followed by 200 mM aqueous NaCl. The GAGs were released by washing the column with 16% (wt/vol) NaCl. The eluent was desalted using a 3-kDa molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) spin column (MilliporeSigma, MA, USA), the GAG sample was freeze-dried and stored frozen. About one-fifth of the recovered GAG sample was used for disaccharide analysis independently and about four-fifths of the recovered GAGs were combined as bat or human groups for HS purification.

For disaccharide compositional analyses, the digestion was performed in a 3-kDa MWCO spin column; 200 μL of digestion buffer (50 mM ammonium acetate containing 2 mM calcium chloride, pH 7.4) was added to the filter unit. 10 μL of recombinant heparin lyase I, II, III (Linhardt, Turnbull, Wang, Loganathan, & Gallagher, 1990) and recombinant chondroitin lyase ABC (Zhang et al., 2009) were added to each sample and mixed well. The samples were all placed in a water bath at 37 °C overnight, after which disaccharides were collected by centrifugation. Lyophilized disaccharides were AMAC-labeled by adding 10 μL of 0.1 M AMAC in dimethyl sulfate/acetic acid (17: 3, vol/vol) incubated at room temperature for 10 min, followed by adding 10 μL of 1 M aqueous sodium cyanoborohydride and incubating for 1 h at 45 °C. The known concentration unsaturated disaccharide standards were similarly AMAC-labeled and used for each run as an external standard. After the AMAC-labeling reaction, the samples were centrifuged, and each supernatant was recovered and analyzed by a modification of a previously published method (Bhattacharyya et al., 2018). High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on an Agilent 1200 LC system at 45 °C using an Agilent Poroshell 120 ECC18 (2.7 μm, 3.0 × 30 mm) column. Mobile phase A (MPA) was 50 mM ammonium acetate aqueous solution and the mobile phase B (MPB) was methanol. The mobile phase passed through the column at a flow rate of 300 μL/min. The gradient was 0–10 min, 5–45% B; 10–10.2 min, 45–100% B; 10.2–14 min, 100 % B; 14–22 min, 100–5 % B. Injection volume was 2 μL. A triple-quadrupole mass spectrometry system equipped with an electro-spray ionization (ESI) source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used as a detector. The on-line mass spectral (MS) analysis was at the MRM mode. MS parameters were as follows: negative ionization mode with a spray voltage of 3000 V, a vaporizer temperature of 300 °C, and a capillary temperature of 270 °C. The data analysis was performed through Xcalibur software.

For HS recovery, the bat or human lung GAGs were added to 300 μL of recombinant chondroitin lyase ABC and also performed in a 3-kDa MWCO spin column. After incubating at 37 °C for overnight, the digested CS and HA disaccharides were removed by centrifugation, and the remaining chondroitin lyase ABC was removed by boiling and precipitation.

2.5. Interaction between SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD and recovered HS

The interaction behavior of recovered HS with SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein RBD was measured using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) on a BIAcore 3000 system (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) based on a similar publication (Yan et al., 2020). Commercial heparin was used as a positive control. Briefly, biotinylated heparin and recovered HS were immobilized to the streptavidin (SA) chip respectively based on the manufacturer’s protocol. Successful immobilization was confirmed by the observation of an over 400-resonance unit (RU) increase in the sensor chip. The SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein RBD was re-suspended in HBS-EP buffer (0.01 M HEPES, 0.15 M NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005 % surfactant P20 (vol/vol), pH 7.4). Different dilutions of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein RBD were injected at a flow rate of 30 μL/min. At the end of injection, the same buffer was flowed over the sensor surface to facilitate dissociation. After dissociation, the sensor surface was regenerated by injecting 30 μL of 2 M NaCl. The response was monitored as a function of time (sensorgram) at 25 °C.

2.6. Competition studies on spike lentivirus pseudotype binding to HS

Competitive SPR experiments (Zhang, Datta, Dordick, & Linhardt, 2020), using recovered HS, were performed to compare their binding ability to SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype. SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype (2 × 10^7 virus units/mL) was separately pre-incubated with a gradient of concentrations of bat or human lung HS in HBS-EP buffer and then injected over the heparin chip at a flow rate of 30 μL/min. After each injection and association, regeneration was performed by injecting 30 μL of 2 M NaCl. The “Inhibition (%).” is calculated by the ratio of highest RU in sensorgram with or without additional HS.

2.7. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) analysis of recovered HS

Bat lung HS and human lung HS were analyzed by PAGE. Briefly, 10 μg samples were loaded onto a 15 % resolving gel containing 14.08 % (wt/vol) acrylamide, 0.92 % (wt/vol) N, N'-methylenebis-acrylamide and 5 % (wt/vol) sucrose. All monomer solutions were prepared in resolving buffer (0.1 M boric acid, 0.1 M Tris, 0.01 M disodium EDTA). A 5 % stacking gel was also prepared in resolving buffer and contained 4.75 % (wt/vol) acrylamide and 0.25 % (wt/vol) N, N'-methylene-bis-acrylamide. A 10 cm × 7 mm diameter resolving gel column was cast from 4 mL of 15 % resolving gel monomer solution mixed with 4 μL of tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) and 12 μL of 10 % ammonium persulfate (APS). A stacking gel was cast from 1 mL 5% stacking gel monomer solution mixed with 1 μL of TEMED and 30 μL of 10 % APS. Phenol red dye prepared in 50 % (wt/vol) sucrose was added to the sample for visualization of the ion front during electrophoresis. Bovine heparin oligosaccharides ladder (10 μg), dp10 (1 μg) and dp18 (1 μg) with known molecular weight were used as standard. The gels were stained with Alcian blue, digitized, and their molecule weights were determined as previously described (Edens et al., 1992). The gel, visualized with Alcian blue staining was digitized with UN-SCAN-IT (Silk Scientific).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structure and function of GAGs

GAGs are linear and anionic polysaccharides composed of repeating disaccharide units. Despite their simple saccharidic backbone, most GAGs have complex modification on their repeating disaccharide units, such as the presence and variable position of sulfo groups (Esko & Selseek, 2002; Gandhi & Mancera, 2008; Song, Zhang, & Linhardt,
and in the ECM. The structure of CS is based on GlcA linked to GalNAc. Different types of CS (CS A-E) are based on the sulfo group modifications and epimerization of the CS-position of the GlcA residue to an IdoA residue in their disaccharide units. CSA has a →4) β-D-GlcA (1→3) 4-O-sulfo-β-D-GlcNAc (1→ repeating unit. CSA also contains dermatan sulfate (DS), has a →4) α-L-IdoA (1→3) 4-O-sulfo-β-D-GlcNAc (1→ repeating unit. CSE has a →4) β-D-GlcA (1→3) 6-O-sulfo-β-D-GlcNAc (1→ repeating unit. CSD has a →4) 2-O-sulfo-β-D-GlcA (1→3) 6-O-sulfo-β-D-GlcNAc (1→ repeating unit. CSE has a →4) β-D-GlcA (1→3) 4,6-di-O-sulfo-β-D-GlcNAc (1→ repeating unit.

HA is the simplest GAG without sulfo groups. It is primarily found in connective tissue and is a prominent component of the ECM. The structure of HA is based on a single repeating unit, →4) β-D-GlcA (1→3) β-GlcNAc (1→).

3.2. Disaccharide composition of recovered GAGs

HS, CS and HA disaccharide repeating units can be quantitated by treating with polysaccharide lyases (Linhardt, 2001) followed by LC-MS analysis. The total GAG composition of bat lung (male, female, and combined male and female) and human lung (male) were determined and are shown in Fig. 3. The result indicate that male bat lung and female bat lung contain very similar composition of total GAGs, corresponding to ~56 % HS, ~29 % HA and ~15 % CS. However, human lung (male) exhibits a different GAG composition than bat lung. Approximately half the GAG in human lung is CS, while HS corresponds to ~40 % and only ~7 % is the HA. Female human lungs were found to be similar to male lungs (data not shown). Furthermore, there was little difference in the bat lung GAG compositions between the male and female bats.

The HS and CS disaccharide composition of GAGs from bat and human lung are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1. Male and female bat lungs exhibited similar HS disaccharide composition which is not surprising as the HS disaccharide composition of male and female mouse lung HS are also similar (Warda et al., 2006). HS is primarily comprised of ~48 % HS NS, ~25 % HS NS 2S and ~19 % HS 0S disaccharide units. In contrast, healthy human lung HS is somewhat different than that reported by the detection method in the study of Clausen et al. (2020). These differences can be ascribed either to different analytical methods of biological variability associated with human tissues.

The composition of bat lung CS was next examined. Male and female bat lung CS showed similar disaccharide compositions and mainly (~69 %) consisted of CS 4S disaccharide unit with the remainder consisting of CS 6S, CS 2S and CS 0S. Human lung CS was also composed mainly (~84 %) of CS 4S with ~6 % CS 6S and ~5 % CS 2S disaccharide units. Thus, human lung and bat lung CS were similar. Additionally, the sulfation level of CS was greater than that of HS in both bat and human lungs. The average bat lung CS disaccharide had ~1.6 sulfates and ~1.9 sulfo...
Fig. 3. Composition of total GAGs, HS and CS, were derived from bat lungs (male, female and combined) and human lungs (male). All bat lung data were the average of those from male and female bats. Mean ± std. dev. is shown. N = 4 male bat lungs, 4 female bat lungs, and 6 male human lungs.
weaker binding affinities with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD than did exhibited a high binding affinity for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD (K_D = 105 nM). Bat lung HS and human lung HS both showed somewhat study are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2. Heparin, as a positive control, for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD. The results of this direct binding groups/disaccharide-

### Composition of HS and CS from bat lung and human lung.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HS TrIS</th>
<th>HS NS6S</th>
<th>HS NS2S</th>
<th>HS NS</th>
<th>HS 2S6S</th>
<th>HS 6S</th>
<th>HS 2S</th>
<th>HS OS</th>
<th>Total NS</th>
<th>Total NAc</th>
<th>Total sulfation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male bat lung</strong></td>
<td>0.16 ±</td>
<td>3.63 ±</td>
<td>25.8 ±</td>
<td>48.1 ±</td>
<td>0.16 ±</td>
<td>2.45 ±</td>
<td>1.56 ±</td>
<td>18.1 ±</td>
<td>77.7 ±</td>
<td>22.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>112 ± 15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>0.10 %</td>
<td>1.41 %</td>
<td>3.19 %</td>
<td>2.94 %</td>
<td>0.16 %</td>
<td>1.06 %</td>
<td>1.24 %</td>
<td>6.82 %</td>
<td>6.47 %</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female bat</strong></td>
<td>0.34 ±</td>
<td>3.02 ±</td>
<td>23.8 ±</td>
<td>47.3 ±</td>
<td>0.03 ±</td>
<td>3.53 ±</td>
<td>1.15 ±</td>
<td>20.8 ±</td>
<td>74.5 ±</td>
<td>25.5 ± 4.78</td>
<td>107 ± 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lung HS</td>
<td>0.06 %</td>
<td>0.45 %</td>
<td>3.62 %</td>
<td>2.80 %</td>
<td>0.06 %</td>
<td>0.51 %</td>
<td>0.67 %</td>
<td>4.77 %</td>
<td>4.78 %</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All bat lung</strong></td>
<td>0.25 ±</td>
<td>3.32 ±</td>
<td>24.8 ±</td>
<td>47.7 ±</td>
<td>0.09 ±</td>
<td>2.99 ±</td>
<td>1.35 ±</td>
<td>19.4 ±</td>
<td>76.1 ±</td>
<td>23.9 ± 4.89</td>
<td>109 ± 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>0.12 %</td>
<td>1.02 %</td>
<td>3.34 %</td>
<td>2.69 %</td>
<td>0.13 %</td>
<td>0.97 %</td>
<td>0.95 %</td>
<td>5.63 %</td>
<td>5.54 %</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human lung</strong></td>
<td>0.12 ±</td>
<td>0.43 ±</td>
<td>2.44 ±</td>
<td>6.75 ±</td>
<td>0.00 ±</td>
<td>5.12 ±</td>
<td>6.27 ±</td>
<td>78.9 ±</td>
<td>9.74 ±</td>
<td>90.3 ± 6.81</td>
<td>24.2 ± 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>0.21 %</td>
<td>0.55 %</td>
<td>2.37 %</td>
<td>3.82 %</td>
<td>0.00 %</td>
<td>0.97 %</td>
<td>1.11 %</td>
<td>7.74 %</td>
<td>6.81 %</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

%S = 0 × %0S + 1 × %4S + 1 × %6S + 1 × %2S + 2 × %4S6S + 2 × %2S6S + 2 × %4S6S + 3 × %Tris. Values represent means ± standard deviations of 4 male bat lungs, 4 female bat lungs, and 6 male human lungs.

- The total NS indicates all the HS disaccharides containing N-sulfo (NS) group.
- The total NAc indicates all the HS disaccharides containing N-acetyl (NAc) group.
- The total sulfation of HS (%S) is calculated by the following formula. %S = 0 × %%0S + 1 × %%4S + 1 × %%6S + 1 × %%2S + 2 × %%4S6S + 2 × %%2S6S + 2 × %%4S6S + 3 × %%Tris.
- The total sulfation of CS (%S) is calculated by the following formula.

3.3. Recovered HS binding with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD

Previous studies (Clausen et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020; Kwon et al., 2020; Tandon et al., 2020; Tree et al., 2020) have demonstrated that HS and other sulfated polysaccharides effectively inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection of cultured cells in vitro by binding the S-protein RBD. HS is recovered from both male and female bats and male human lung tissues and from porcine intestinal heparin (positive control) were immobilized on a SA Biacore chip to compare binding affinity for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD. The results of this direct binding study are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2. Heparin, as a positive control, exhibited a high binding affinity for SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD (K_D = 105 nM). Bat lung HS and human lung HS both showed somewhat weaker binding affinities with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD than did heparin with K_D values of 703 nM and 194 nM, respectively. Human lung HS exhibited stronger binding affinity with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD than did bat lung HS even though human lung HS had a lower sulfation level than bat lung HS. This is of interest as most GAG protein interactions result from ionic interactions between anionic sulfo groups and cationic amino acids (arginine and lysine) in the GAG-binding protein (Capila & Linhardt, 2002). Furthermore, the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD contains Cardin-Weintraub motifs consistent with such ionic interactions (Cardin & Weintraub, 1989; Kim et al., 2020). The kinetic constants of these interactions presented in Table 2 clearly demonstrate a much weaker interaction with SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD for bat lung HS than for heparin and human lung HS.

### Recovered HS binding with SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype

An additional study of SARS-CoV-2 virus binding to bat lung HS and human lung HS was undertaken using a SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype. An SPR competition experiment was used in this study and the results are shown in Fig. 5. These studies indicate that SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype could strongly bind to immobilized heparin resulting in an increase in response units (RU) in SPR sensogram. Pre-incubation of SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype with either bat lung HS or human lung HS reduced the RU in SPR sensogram in a concentration-dependent fashion. Based on the inhibition curves the results are shown in Fig. 5. These studies indicate that SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype could strongly bind to immobilized heparin resulting in an increase in response units (RU) in SPR sensogram. Pre-incubation of SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype with either bat lung HS or human lung HS reduced the RU in SPR sensogram in a concentration-dependent fashion. Based on the inhibition curves the concentration value at which these two HS samples resulted in 50 % inhibition (IC50) was calculated. The IC50 for bat lung HS was 18.2 ± 2.3 μg/mL (± std. deviation, n = 3) and the IC50 for human lung HS was 10.6 ± 3.6 μg/mL at a SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype concentration of 2 × 10^5 virus units/mL. These results clearly demonstrate that human lung HS has stronger binding ability with SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype than does bat lung HS.

3.5. Molecular weight analysis of recovered HS

The HS samples were next analyzed by PAGE using a 15 % polyacrylamide gel to characterize the size the bat lung HS and human lung HS chains (Fig. 6, Table 3). The weight-average molecular weight (M_w) of bat lung HS was 6,969 Da which was much smaller than the 12,215 Da determined for the human lung HS. Indeed, most HS chains derived from animal tissues, such as 11,700 Da for porcine intestinal HS, have molecular weights above 10,000 Da.
In our previous studies on the interaction of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD with heparin (Mw 18,000) and low molecular weight heparin (Mw 5,000), the low molecular weight heparin showed a 200-fold reduction in binding affinity by competition SPR and of inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection of Vero cells (Kim et al., 2020; Kwon et al., 2020). Moreover, a study on sulfated fucoidans (heparin analogs) showed a similar molecular weight dependence of the ability to inhibit viral infection (Kwon et al., 2020). The current study seems to suggest that chain size is more important than sulfation level for extracellular HS to bind with SARS-CoV-2 virus. We hypothesize that the short chain size bat lung HS might reduce the severity of lung infection by SARS-CoV-2 virus in bats simply by resulting in less virus binding and subsequent cell entry. In contrast, the longer chain size of human lung HS may promote lung infection in humans by SARS-CoV-2 virus. Additional studies will certainly be required to test this hypothesis. It is noteworthy that other viruses, which infect bats and humans, such as rabies (Kamhi et al., 2013), use heparan sulfate as co-receptor and chain size dependence should also be tested on such zoonotic pathogens. Moreover, future studies are planned to assess chemokine binding to these heparan sulfate chains.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, we extracted and analyzed the total GAGs from bat lung and human lung tissues. The results indicate that male and female bat lungs are similar with respect to GAG composition and that bat lung total GAG is comprised of more HS than human lung total GAG. Further, the sulfation level of bat lung HS is much higher than that of human lung HS. However, SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD binds 3.5-times more strongly to human lung HS than bat lung HS. SPR competition studies also showed that human lung HS binds more strongly to a SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype. Further analysis demonstrated that the molecular weight of human lung HS is 2-times of that of bat lung HS. Thus, we infer that molecular weight may be more important than sulfation level for lung HS binding to SARS-CoV-2 virus spike protein. In addition, further studies will be required to understand the precise relationship between HS chain size (including minimum chain length), degree of sulfation, and structural motif (sulfate positions and uronic acid epimer and positions) required for binding to spike glycoprotein.
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Fig. 5. Competition of SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype \((2 \times 10^3 \text{ virus units/mL})\) binding to immobilized heparin by gradient concentrations of (A) bat lung HS with (B) corresponding inhibition curve and (C) human lung HS with (D) corresponding inhibition curve (± std. deviations, \(n = 3\)). SARS-CoV-2 spike lentivirus pseudotype was pre-incubated with gradient concentrations of additional lung HS prior to interact with immobilized heparin. (E) Scheme of the SPR competition experiments.
Fig. 6. A total of 15 % carbohydrate PAGE analysis of bat lung HS (lane 1) and human lung HS (lane 2). Bovine heparin oligosaccharide ladder, dp10 and dp 18 were used as standard.

Table 3

| Molecule information of bat lung HS and human lung HS. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                   | Mw              | Mn              | PDI             |
| Human lung HS     | 12,215 Da       | 9,674 Da        | 1.26            |
| Bat lung HS       | 6,969 Da        | 7,805 Da        | 0.89            |
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